1	Joseph W. Cotchett (36324) Steven N. Williams (175489)	
2 3	Nanci E. Nishimura (152621) Neil Swartzberg (215133) Aron K. Liang (228936)	
4	COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY San Francisco Airport Office Center	
5	840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010	
6	Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577	
7	jcotchett@cpmlegal.com swilliams@cpmlegal.com	
8	nnishimura@cpmlegal.com nswartzberg@cpmlegal.com	
	aliang@cpmlegal.com	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class	
10		
12		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	DONALD WORTMAN,	Case No. 07-5634-CRB
		Case 140, 07-3034-CICD
16	WILLIAM ADAMS,	Case 140, 07-3034-CRD
16 17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	JOINT STIPULATION PURSUANT TO
17	WILLIAM ADAMS, MARGARET GARCIA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	
	WILLIAM ADAMS, MARGARET GARCIA, individually and on	JOINT STIPULATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(a) EXTENDING TIME FOR AIR NEW ZEALAND, LTD. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND AGREEMENT OF ITS COUNSEL TO
17 18	WILLIAM ADAMS, MARGARET GARCIA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs.	JOINT STIPULATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(a) EXTENDING TIME FOR AIR NEW ZEALAND, LTD. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND
17 18 19	WILLIAM ADAMS, MARGARET GARCIA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. AIR NEW ZEALAND, ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS, CATHAY PACIFIC	JOINT STIPULATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(a) EXTENDING TIME FOR AIR NEW ZEALAND, LTD. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND AGREEMENT OF ITS COUNSEL TO
17 18 19 20	WILLIAM ADAMS, MARGARET GARCIA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. AIR NEW ZEALAND, ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS, CATHAY PACIFIC AIRWAYS, CHINA AIRLINES, EVA AIRWAYS, JAPAN AIRLINES	JOINT STIPULATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(a) EXTENDING TIME FOR AIR NEW ZEALAND, LTD. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND AGREEMENT OF ITS COUNSEL TO
17 18 19 20 21	WILLIAM ADAMS, MARGARET GARCIA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. AIR NEW ZEALAND, ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS, CATHAY PACIFIC AIRWAYS, CHINA AIRLINES, EVA	JOINT STIPULATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(a) EXTENDING TIME FOR AIR NEW ZEALAND, LTD. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND AGREEMENT OF ITS COUNSEL TO
17 18 19 20 21 22	WILLIAM ADAMS, MARGARET GARCIA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. AIR NEW ZEALAND, ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS, CATHAY PACIFIC AIRWAYS, CHINA AIRLINES, EVA AIRWAYS, JAPAN AIRLINES INTERNATIONAL, MALAYSIA AIRLINES, NORTHWEST AIRLINES, QANTAS AIRWAYS, SINGAPORE	JOINT STIPULATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(a) EXTENDING TIME FOR AIR NEW ZEALAND, LTD. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND AGREEMENT OF ITS COUNSEL TO
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WILLIAM ADAMS, MARGARET GARCIA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. AIR NEW ZEALAND, ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS, CATHAY PACIFIC AIRWAYS, CHINA AIRLINES, EVA AIRWAYS, JAPAN AIRLINES INTERNATIONAL, MALAYSIA AIRLINES, NORTHWEST AIRLINES,	JOINT STIPULATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(a) EXTENDING TIME FOR AIR NEW ZEALAND, LTD. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND AGREEMENT OF ITS COUNSEL TO
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	WILLIAM ADAMS, MARGARET GARCIA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. AIR NEW ZEALAND, ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS, CATHAY PACIFIC AIRWAYS, CHINA AIRLINES, EVA AIRWAYS, JAPAN AIRLINES INTERNATIONAL, MALAYSIA AIRLINES, NORTHWEST AIRLINES, QANTAS AIRWAYS, SINGAPORE AIRLINES, THAI AIRWAYS, UNITED	JOINT STIPULATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(a) EXTENDING TIME FOR AIR NEW ZEALAND, LTD. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND AGREEMENT OF ITS COUNSEL TO
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	WILLIAM ADAMS, MARGARET GARCIA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. AIR NEW ZEALAND, ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS, CATHAY PACIFIC AIRWAYS, CHINA AIRLINES, EVA AIRWAYS, JAPAN AIRLINES INTERNATIONAL, MALAYSIA AIRLINES, NORTHWEST AIRLINES, QANTAS AIRWAYS, SINGAPORE AIRLINES, THAI AIRWAYS, UNITED AIRLINES,	JOINT STIPULATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(a) EXTENDING TIME FOR AIR NEW ZEALAND, LTD. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND AGREEMENT OF ITS COUNSEL TO
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	WILLIAM ADAMS, MARGARET GARCIA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. AIR NEW ZEALAND, ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS, CATHAY PACIFIC AIRWAYS, CHINA AIRLINES, EVA AIRWAYS, JAPAN AIRLINES INTERNATIONAL, MALAYSIA AIRLINES, NORTHWEST AIRLINES, QANTAS AIRWAYS, SINGAPORE AIRLINES, THAI AIRWAYS, UNITED AIRLINES,	JOINT STIPULATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(a) EXTENDING TIME FOR AIR NEW ZEALAND, LTD. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND AGREEMENT OF ITS COUNSEL TO
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	WILLIAM ADAMS, MARGARET GARCIA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. AIR NEW ZEALAND, ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS, CATHAY PACIFIC AIRWAYS, CHINA AIRLINES, EVA AIRWAYS, JAPAN AIRLINES INTERNATIONAL, MALAYSIA AIRLINES, NORTHWEST AIRLINES, QANTAS AIRWAYS, SINGAPORE AIRLINES, THAI AIRWAYS, UNITED AIRLINES,	JOINT STIPULATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(a) EXTENDING TIME FOR AIR NEW ZEALAND, LTD. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND AGREEMENT OF ITS COUNSEL TO ACCEPT SERVICE OF COMPLAINT

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(a), and in light of the February 19, 2008 Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML") Transfer Order consolidating this case and the other Transpacific Passenger Air cases, plaintiffs Donald Wortman, William Adams, and Margaret Garcia ("Plaintiffs"), and defendant Air New Zealand, Ltd. ("Air NZ"), through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that defendant Air NZ's time to answer, move or otherwise plead is enlarged until either: (1) 45 days after plaintiffs in the Transpacific Passenger Air cases file and serve a consolidated amended complaint; or, (2) 45 days after plaintiffs in the Transpacific Passenger Air cases provide written notice that a consolidated amended complaint will not be filed.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that counsel for Air NZ, Condon & Forsyth LLP, shall accept service on behalf of defendant Air NZ of the summons and complaints in the above-captioned matter, including any amended or consolidated complaints, and further, that defendant Air NZ shall not contest sufficiency of process or service of process. This Stipulation does not constitute a waiver of any other defense including, but not limited to, the defenses of lack of personal or subject matter jurisdiction or improper venue. Nothing in this paragraph shall obligate defendant Air NZ to answer, move, or otherwise respond to any complaint until the time provided in the preceding paragraph.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

2223

Dated: February 1, 2008

24

25

26

27

28

Respectfully Submitted,

Ву:

/s/ Neil Swartzberg

Joseph W. Cotchett (36324) Steven N. Williams (175489) Nanci E. Nishimura (152621) Neil Swartzberg (215133) Aron K. Liang (228936) COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY San Francisco Airport Office Center 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010

1

